# Wellesley Public Schools 2017 MCAS Results 

## School Committee Presentation

## Presentation Overview

- Introduce Next-Generation MCAS
- Present:
- District MCAS Spring 2017 Results
- District MCAS Subgroup Results
- District WHS OECD (PISA) 2017 Results
- Share next steps


## What is the Next-Generation MCAS?

- Updated version of MCAS
- Focused on assessing the Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks (Standards) through the lens of $21^{\text {st }}$ Century Competencies
- Signals readiness for college \& career readiness


## What is the Next-Generation MCAS?

## Designed as a computer based test (CBT)

- Spring 2017
- Grades 3-8 in ELA \& Math
- CBT grades 4 \& 8
- Grades 5 \& 8 Science (no change)
- High School ELA, Math, Science (no change)
- Spring 2018
- CBT for grades 4-8 in ELA, Math \& Science
- PBT for grade 3
- High School ELA, Math, Science (no change)
- Spring 2019 - Fully Implemented
- CBT for grades 3-10 in ELA, Math \& Science



## MCAS Achievement Levels: Legacy

- Advanced: Students at this level demonstrate a comprehensive and in-depth understanding of rigorous subject matter, and provide sophisticated solutions to complex problems.
- Proficient: Students at this level demonstrate a solid understanding of challenging subject matter and solve a wide variety of problems.
- Needs Improvement: Students at this level demonstrate a partial understanding of subject matter and solve some simple problems.
- Warning/Failing: Students at this level demonstrate a minimal understanding of subject matter and do not solve simple problems.


## MCAS Achievement Levels: Next-Generation

- Exceeding Expectations: A student who performed at this level exceeded grade-level expectations by demonstrating mastery of the subject matter.
- Meeting Expectations: A student who performed at this level met grade-level expectations and is academically on track to succeed in the current grade in this subject.
- Partially Meeting Expectations: A student who performed at this level partially met grade-level expectations in this subject.
- Not Meeting Expectations: A student who performed at this level did not meet grade-level expectations in this subject.


## Interpreting the Results

- Focuses on:
- Critical thinking abilities
- Application of knowledge
- Connections between reading and writing
- College and career readiness
- Next-Generation MCAS questions are designed to more rigorously assess Massachusetts Curriculum Frameworks (Standards)
- Massachusetts educators set these standards and raised the expectations of what it means to meet or exceed the Standards.


## Interpreting the Results (cont.)

- The Next-Generation MCAS measures in a different way (closer alignment with $21^{\text {st }}$ century competencies)
- 2017 is a baseline year for NextGeneration MCAS
- In some grades and subjects results may be similar (e.g. grade 4 ELA \& Math; grade 7 Math)
- In other grades and subjects results may be lower (e.g. grade 8 ELA)


# Sample State Student 2017 MCAS Report 

## Your Child's Achievement Level: Exceeding Expectations <br> Your Child's Score: 541



In the figure above, the triangle indicates your child's score on the test. The gray bar shows. the range of likely scores your child would receive if he or she took the test multiple times.

How your child performed compared to the school, district, and state

| Your Child's <br> Score | Average Score |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | School | District | State |
| 541 | 485 | 502 | 515 |

## Statewide 2017 Next-Generation Results for Grade 6 ELA and Math as compared with Legacy MCAS

Grade 6 ELA - Massachusetts


Grade 6 Math - Massachusetts


LEGEND - Achievement Levels:

Next-Generation MCAS

## Legacy MCAS

Exceeding Expectations
Meeting Expectations

- Partially Meeting Expectations

Not Meeting Expectations

- Advanced
- Proficient

Needs Improvement
$\square$ Warning

## WPS Next-Generation MCAS Spring 2017 Results

## Wellesley Public Schools ELA MCAS Spring 2017 Results

| Subject | Grade <br> Level |  <br> Exceeding <br> Expectations | \% Partially <br> Meeting <br> Expectations | \% Not <br> Meeting <br> Expectations | Standard <br> Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA | 3 | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | 32 | 3 | 509.5 |
| ELA | 4 | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | 21 | 1 | 514.5 |
| ELA | 5 | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | 20 | 2 | 512.1 |
| ELA | 6 | $\mathbf{7 9}$ | 19 | 2 | 513 |
| ELA | 7 | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | 22 | 2 | 510.9 |
| ELA | 8 | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | 18 | 2 | 512.2 |


| Subject | Grade <br> Level | \% A\&P | NI | F |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ELA | 10 | $\mathbf{9 9}$ | 1 | 1 |

## Wellesley Public Schools MATH MCAS Spring 2017 Results

| Subject | Grade <br> Level |  <br> Exceeding <br> Expectations | \% Partially <br> Meeting <br> Expectations | \% Not <br> Meeting <br> Expectations | Standard <br> Score |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Math | 3 | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | 31 | 6 | 508.3 |
| Math | 4 | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | 28 | 4 | 509 |
| Math | 5 | $\mathbf{6 9}$ | 27 | 4 | 510.5 |
| Math | 6 | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | 20 | 3 | 512.6 |
| Math | 7 | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | 21 | 4 | 512.9 |
| Math | 8 | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | 20 | 3 | 513 |


| Subject | Grade <br> Level | \% A\&P | NI | F |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Math | 10 | $\mathbf{9 6}$ | 3 | 1 |

## MCAS Science Context \& Timing

2017

- Cumulative assessments 3-5 and 6-8
- Based on overlapping old and new curriculum standards

2018

- Based on overlapping old and new standards
- Will be computer-based grades 5 \& 8

2019

- Based on 2016 standards, grade 3-5 and 6-8
- Computer-based with new test design
- Performance standards will be set


## 2017 WPS Results

Science and Technology/Engineering (Legacy)

| Grade | \% Advanced <br> and <br> Proficient | \% Needs <br> Improvement | \% Warning |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 STE | 95 | 5 | 1 |
| $9-$ Physics | 96 | 4 | 0 |
| 8 | 63 | 32 | 5 |
| 5 | 62 | 32 | 6 |

## MCAS Science - \% Advanced \& Proficient 20102017

| Gr. | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 <br> STE | 77 | 79 | 75 | 81 | 82 | 81 | 91 | 95 |
| $9 P$ |  |  |  |  | 98 | 93 | 96 | 96 |
| 8 | 44 | 41 | 65 | 55 | 59 | 72 | 70 | 63 |
| 5 | 64 | 58 | 63 | 55 | 69 | 59 | 70 | 62 |

Grade 5 are district results; Grades $8 \& 10$ are school results.

## LIKE DISTRICT COMPARISONS

## MCAS 2017 District Comparisons Grades 3-8:

 \% of Students Meeting and Exceeding Expectations|  | Grade 3 |  | Grade 4 |  | Grade 5 |  |  | Grade 6 |  | Grade 7 |  | Grade 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | STE <br> A\&P | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | STE <br> A\&P |
| Concord | 77 | 79 | 81 | 77 | 83 | 86 | 77 | 80 | 79 | 84 | 82 | 77 | 71 | 74 |
| Dover | 70 | 86 | 63 | 67 | 81 | 72 | 67 | 86 | 82 | 81 | 78 | 87 | 85 | 78 |
| Lexington | 71 | 74 | 76 | 79 | 75 | 72 | 73 | 84 | 87 | 85 | 85 | 83 | 91 | 77 |
| Natick | 59 | 62 | 68 | 62 | 55 | 65 | 69 | 63 | 61 | 65 | 61 | 65 | 67 | 59 |
| Needham | 61 | 68 | 66 | 66 | 71 | 69 | 67 | 80 | 84 | 78 | 84 | 76 | 76 | 68 |
| Newton | 59 | 62 | 67 | 67 | 68 | 66 | 62 | 70 | 72 | 71 | 74 | 71 | 72 | 59 |
| Sudbury | 62 | 76 | 81 | 78 | 70 | 72 | 75 | 71 | 64 | 74 | 73 | 77 | 61 | 60 |
| Wayland | 62 | 66 | 55 | 62 | 72 | 79 | 71 | 76 | 79 | 80 | 74 | 81 | 83 | 81 |
| Wellesley | 65 | 64 | 78 | 68 | 78 | 69 | 62 | 79 | 77 | 76 | 75 | 80 | 77 | 63 |
| Weston | 78 | 70 | 83 | 80 | 71 | 84 | 80 | 66 | 75 | 81 | 77 | 71 | 78 | 74 |
| Winchester | 67 | 77 | 79 | 81 | 78 | 81 | 81 | 83 | 85 | 76 | 81 | 80 | 73 | 70 |

Highest percentage among comparison group
Lowest percentage among comparison group

## MCAS 2017 District Comparisons Grade 10: \% of Students Advanced and Proficient

|  | Grade 10 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District | ELA | Math | STE |
| Concord-Carlisle | 98 | 98 | 96 |
| Dover-Sherborn | 99 | 99 | 97 |
| Lexington | 98 | 98 | 94 |
| Lincoln-Sudbury | 98 | 96 | 91 |
| Natick | 95 | 90 | 94 |
| Needham | 98 | 94 | 92 |
| Newton | 97 | 94 | 91 |
| Wayland | 98 | 95 | 96 |
| Wellesley | 99 | 96 | 96 |
| Weston | 99 | 96 | 92 |
| Westwood | 97 | 95 | 95 |
| Winchester | 97 | 95 | 95 |

Highest percentage among comparison group
Lowest percentage among comparison group

## District Spring 2017 MCAS Subgroup Results

## WPS Grades 3-8 \% of Students Meeting and Exceeding Expectations: MCAS 2017 ELA by Subgroup

| ELA | \% |
| :--- | :---: |
| All | 76 |
| High Needs | 42 |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 34 |
| ELL and Former ELL | 51 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 49 |
| African American/Black | 49 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 49 |

## WPS Grades 3-8 \% of Students Meeting and Exceeding Expectations: <br> MCAS 2017 ELA by Subgroup

|  | Grade <br> $\mathbf{3}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{4}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{5}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{6}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{7}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{8}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{0} \mathbf{~} \mathbf{A \& P}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 65 | 78 | 78 | 79 | 76 | 80 | 99 |
| High Needs | 35 | 43 | 48 | 36 | 45 | 45 | 95 |
| Students w/ disabilities | 22 | 37 | 39 | 28 | 32 | 45 | 93 |
| ELL and Former ELL | 47 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Economically <br> Disadvantaged | 36 | 52 | 57 | 41 | 64 | 45 | 93 |
| African American/Black | 41 | 50 | 56 | NA | 47 | 46 | 94 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 47 | 50 | 48 | 47 | 39 | 64 | 94 |

## WPS Grades 3-8 \% of Students Meeting and Exceeding Expectations: MCAS 2017 Math by Subgroup

| Math | \% |
| :--- | :---: |
| All | 71 |
| High Needs | 38 |
| Students w/ Disabilities | 30 |
| ELL and Former ELL | 49 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 39 |
| African American/Black | 29 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 42 |

## WPS Grades 3-8 \% of Students Meeting and Exceeding Expectations: MCAS 2017 Math by Subgroup

|  | Grade <br> $\mathbf{3}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{4}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{5}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{6}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{7}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{8}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{\%} \mathbf{~ A \& ~}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 64 | 68 | 69 | 77 | 75 | 77 | 96 |
| High Needs | 34 | 33 | 33 | 28 | 48 | 48 | 86 |
| Students w/ disabilities | 30 | 27 | 24 | 21 | 35 | 43 | 84 |
| ELL and Former ELL | 40 | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| Economically <br> Disadvantaged | 29 | 28 | 38 | 32 | 60 | 48 | 85 |
| African American/Black | 24 | 21 | 47 | NA | 35 | 21 | 72 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 47 | 25 | 39 | 47 | 33 | 60 | 100 |

## WPS Grades 3-8 \% of Students Advanced \& Proficient MCAS 2017 Science by Subgroup

|  | Grade <br> $\mathbf{5}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{8}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{9 / 1 0}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 62 | 63 | 95 |
| High Needs | 31 | 28 | 79 |
| Students w/ disabilities | 24 | 27 | 75 |
| ELL and Former ELL | NA | NA | NA |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 33 | 30 | 71 |
| African American/Black | 29 | 29 | 81 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 30 | 40 | 88 |

## WPS 2010-2017 Science MCAS: Reduction in proficiency gaps



## MCAS Student Growth Percentiles

## MCAS Student Growth Percentile (SGP) Ranges

| $<19^{\text {th }}$ Percentile | Very Low Growth |
| :--- | :--- |
| $20^{\text {th }}-40^{\text {th }}$ Percentile | Low Growth |
| $41^{\text {st }}-59^{\text {th }}$ Percentile | Typical Growth |
| $60^{\text {th }}-79^{\text {th }}$ Percentile | High Growth |
| $>80^{\text {th }}$ Percentile | Very High Growth |

## 2017 MCAS WPS Median SGP by Grade

|  | ELA SGP | Math SGP |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 | 63 | 54 |
| Grade 5 | 57 | 60 |
| Grade 6 | 55 | 55 |
| Grade 7 | 60 | 73 |
| Grade 8 | 50 | 60 |
| Grade 10 | 56 | 75 |

High Growth (SGP of 60+)

## Next Steps

## ELA

- Intentional work on writing about reading


## Math

- Additional Math specialists at the elementary level
- New curriculum K-12 and supporting teachers with these materials
- New programs to ensure alignment with MA Curriculum Frameworks (Standards)
- Prioritizing grants for Middle School math support


## Science

- Still working to align standards
- More coordinated support for elementary science
- Partnering with science consultants, through a WEF Grant, to do a strategic review of WPS elementary science.


## WHS OECD (PISA) 2017 Results

## OECD (PISA) 2017

- Program for International Student Assessment (PISA), launched by the Organisation of Economic Co-Operation \& Development (OECD) in 1997 assesses students ages 15-16 years old in the areas of Reading, Mathematics, and Science.
- PISA was given in 2015 to students from over 72 countries and economies.
- PISA assesses students' ability to apply their knowledge, not just mastery of content.


## OECD (PISA) 2017 (cont.)

- In 2017 WHS, in coordination with Northwest Education Assessment (NWEA ${ }^{\circledR}$ ), administered PISA to a random sample of 69 WHS students.
- The assessment places students into 6 performance levels (1-6), with Level 6 being highest.


## WHS OECD (PISA) 2017 Mean Scores vs. 2015 United States \& International

|  | Reading | Mathematics | Science |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| WHS | 545 | 574 | 570 |
| United <br> States | 497 | 470 | 496 |
| OECD | 493 | 490 | 493 |

## OECD (PISA) Performance of WHS Students vs. International - Reading

Figure 4.1 - How students at your school compare with the average of students from selected countries and economies in reading in PISA 2015

|  | Reading performance Your School | Reading performance in selected countries and economies in PISA 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 700 |  |  |
|  |  | 535 Singapore <br> 527 Hong Kong (China) <br> - 527 Canada <br> 526 Finland |
| 尔 <br> 500 | - Your School 545 |  |
| 400 |  |  |
| 300 |  |  |

Note: Shaded bars above and below the mean scores represent a $95 \%$ confidence interval. In other words, in the case of the results for your school, we are $95 \%$ confident that if your school were to administer the test several times, your mean performance scores would fall within this confidence interval.

## OECD (PISA) Performance of WHS Students vs. International - Mathematics

Figure 4.5 - How students at your school compare with selected countries and economies in mathematics in PISA 2015

|  | Mathematics performance Your School | Mathematics performance in selected countries and economies in PISA 2015 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 700 |  |  |
|  |  | $\left[\begin{array}{l}564 \text { Singapore } \\ 548 \text { Hong Kong (China) } \\ 532 \text { Japan } \\ -531 \text { B-S-I-G (China) }\end{array}\right.$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 震 } \\ & \frac{\pi}{\frac{5}{2}} \\ & \\ & \\ & 500 \end{aligned}$ | - Your School 574 | 524 Korea 516 Canada 512 Netherlands 511 Finland |
| 400 |  | 506 Germany <br> 504 Ireland <br> - 494 Russia <br> -494 Australia <br> -493 France |
| 300 |  | - 492 United Kingdom <br> - 470 United States <br> 377 Brazil |

Note: Shaded bars above and below the mean scores represent a $95 \%$ confidence interval. In other words, in the case of the results for your school, we are $95 \%$ confident that if your school were to administer the test several times, your mean performance scores would fall within this confidence interval.

## OECD (PISA) Performance of WHS Students vs. International - Science

Figure 4.9 = How students at your school compare with selected countries and economies in science in PISA 2015


Note: Shaded bars above and below the mean scores represent a 95\% confidence interval. In other words, in the case of the results for your school, we are $95 \%$ confident that if your school were to administer the test several times, your mean performance scores would fall within this confidence interval.

## OECD (PISA) Student Questionnaire

- Students are given a questionnaire at the end of the assessment to gather information about the following:
- Student's family and home
- School's climate
- Student's strategies, attitudes, and dispositions towards learning


## Key OECD (PISA) Take-aways

- High performing in all areas: Reading, Mathematics, and Science
- WHS is performing below where expected in reading and science as compared to students with similar socioeconomic backgrounds.
- WHS students are deep and highly restricted readers versus deep and wide readers
- Similar to previous data sources (Challenge Success)
- 70\% of students agree that "Mathematics is an important subject for me because I need it for what I want to study later on."
- 78\% of students agree that "Most teachers listen to what I have to say."


## Questions?

## Parent Resources

## www.doe.mass.edu/mcas/parents

- Parent Guide to the MCAS (available in several languages
- Annotated Parent/Guardian Reports (PPT)
- Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)
- What are the Achievement Level Descriptors?
- Item Descriptions for Grades 3-8 ELA and Mathematics
- Parent/guardian report templates and translations

