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## Presentation Overview

- Share data from Spring 2018 MCAS:
- Accountability Results
- Achievement Results
- Like District Comparisons
- Subgroup Results
- Student Growth Percentiles
- Highlight key takeaways \& next steps


## 2018 Accountability Results

## Wellesley Public Schools: 2018 Overall Accountability Results

| Organization Information | TITLE I STATUS |
| :--- | :--- |
| DISTRICT NAME | Title I District |
| Wellesley $\left(\mathrm{o}_{3} 170000\right)$ | GRADES SERVED |
| REGION | PK,K,01,02,03,04,05,06,07,08,09,10,11,12 |
| Coastal |  |

## Accountability Information

Overall classification Not requiring assistance or intervention

## Reason for classification

Meeting targets

## Wellesley Public Schools: 2018 Overall Accountability Results

Overall results

| Progress toward improvement targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indicetor |  | All sturicist: <br> (Noon-hinh schoot aradies) |  |  | Lowest perrorming studente (Wion-htah school gredses) |  |  | All stuadent: [Hilah echool gradis:] |  |  | Lownest pertorming studisent= <br> CHIDh sehool arradiss |  |  |
|  |  | Points carned | Total possalbls pointe | Wrelant | Points carnsed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { posilsis } \\ \text { posint: } \end{gathered}$ | Weloht | Points carmed | Total possalbis point: | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wrefoht } \\ \% \end{gathered}$ | Point: parmsed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total } \\ & \text { posolble } \\ & \text { poolnter } \end{aligned}$ | welaht $\%$ |
| Achievement | English language arts achievement | 4 | 4 | - | 4 | 4 | - | 4 | 4 | - | 4 | 4 | - |
|  | Mathernatics achievement | 4 | 4 | - | 4 | 4 | - | 4 | 4 | - | 3 | 4 | - |
|  | Science achieverment | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Achievement total | 11 | 12 | 60.0 | 8 | 8 | 67.5 | 12 | 12 | 47-5 | 7 | 8 | 67.5 |
| Growth | English language arts growth | 3 | 4 | - | 3 | 4 | - | 2 | 4 | - | 1 | 4 | - |
|  | Mathernatics growth | 3 | 4 | - | 3 | 4 | - | 4 | 4 | - | 4 | 4 | - |
|  | Growth total | 6 | 8 | 20.0 | 6 | 8 | 22-5 | 6 | 8 | 22.5 | 5 | 8 | 22.5 |
| High school completion | Four-year cohort graduation rate | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Extended engagement rate | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Annual dropout rate | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
|  | High school completion total | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 12 | 20.0 | - | - | - |
| Progress toward attaining English language proficiency | English <br> language proficiency total | 4 | 4 | 10.0 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Additional indicators | Chronic absenteeism | 3 | 4 | - | - | 4 | - | 0 | 4 | - | - | 4 | - |
|  | Advanced coursework completion | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | - |
|  | Additional indicators total | 3 | 4 | 10.0 | 0 | 4 | 10.0 | 3 | 8 | 10.0 | - | 4 | 10.0 |
| Weighted total |  | 8.5 | 9.6 | - | 6.8 | 7.6 | - | 9.2 | 10.7 | - | $5 \cdot 9$ | 7.6 | - |
| Percentage of possible points |  | 89.096 |  | - | 89.0\% |  | - | 86.09 |  | - | 78.096 |  | - |
| Percentage of possible points by gradespan |  | $\begin{gathered} 8996 \\ \text { Welght of non-high school results:70s } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 8296Welant of high achool resulta:30\% |  |  |  |  |  |
| Criterion-referenced target percentage |  | $\frac{8796}{\text { Meeting targets }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Wellesley Public Schools:

 2018 Subgroup Accountability Results| Subgroup | Grades 3-8 | High <br> School | Overall <br> Target \% | Meeting <br> Targets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 89 | 82 | 87 | Yes |
| High Needs | 94 | 55 | 82 | Yes |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 35 | 54 | 41 | Partially |
| ELL | - | - | - | - |
| Students with Disabilities | 79 | 53 | 71 | Partially |
| Asian | 99 | 90 | 96 | Yes |
| African American/Black | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic/Latino | - | - | - | - |
| Multi-Racial | - | - | 92 | Yes |
| White | 95 |  | - | - |

## 2018 Accountability: District Comparisons

| District | Accountability | \% Overall Progress <br> Towards Targets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Concord-Carlisle | Partially Meeting Targets | $71 \%$ |
| Dover-Sherborn | Meeting Targets | $90 \%$ |
| Lexington | Meeting Targets | $95 \%$ |
| Lincoln-Sudbury | In Need to Focused/Targeted |  |
| Support | $55 \%$ |  |
| Natick | Partially Meeting Targets | $66 \%$ |
| Needham | Meeting Targets | $86 \%$ |
| Newton | Partially Meeting Targets | $72 \%$ |
| Wayland | Meeting Targets | $88 \%$ |
| Wellesley | Meeting Targets | $87 \%$ |
| Weston | Meeting Targets | $83 \%$ |
| Westwood | Meeting Targets | $75 \%$ |
| Meeting Targets | $88 \%$ |  |

Highest percentage among comparison group
Lowest percentage among comparison group

# 2018 Accountability: <br> District Comparisons All \& High Needs 

| District | All <br> Target \% | All <br> Meeting Targets | High Needs <br> Target \% | High Needs <br> Meeting Targets |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Concord-Carlisle | 71 | Partially | 61 | Partially |
| Dover-Sherborn | 90 | Meeting | 64 | Partially |
| Lexington | 95 | Meeting | 78 | Meeting |
| Lincoln-Sudbury | 55 | Partially | 37 | Partially |
| Natick | 66 | Partially | 53 | Partially |
| Needham | 86 | Meeting | 72 | Partially |
| Newton | 72 | Partially | 57 | Partially |
| Wayland | 88 | Meeting | 70 | Partially |
| Wellesley | 87 | Meeting | 82 | Meeting |
| Weston | 83 | Meeting | 88 | Meeting |
| Westwood | 75 | Meeting | 72 | Partially |
| Winchester | 88 | Meeting | 81 | Meeting |

Highest percentage among comparison group
Lowest percentage among comparison group

## Key Takeaways: Accountability

## Highlights:

- Meeting or Exceeding Targets in achievement for all subjects
- Meeting or Exceeding Targets for SGP in Mathematics
- Exceeding Targets for progress towards ELP
- Meeting Targets for High Needs Students (Grades 3-10)


## Opportunities for Improvement:

- Data validation/correction for chronic absenteeism
- Further analysis and targeted assistance for Economically Disadvantaged Students (Grades 3-10)
- Further analysis and targeted assistance for High Needs, Economically Disadvantaged, \& Students with Disabilities (Grades 9-12)


## 2018 Achievement Results

## MCAS Achievement Levels: Next-Generation

- Exceeding Expectations: A student who performed at this level exceeded grade-level expectations by demonstrating mastery of the subject matter.
- Meeting Expectations: A student who performed at this level met grade-level expectations and is academically on track to succeed in the current grade in this subject.
- Partially Meeting Expectations: A student who performed at this level partially met grade-level expectations in this subject.
- Not Meeting Expectations: A student who performed at this level did not meet grade-level expectations in this subject.


## Wellesley Public Schools: Spring 2018 ELA MCAS Results

$\left.\begin{array}{|cccc|}\hline \text { Grade } \\ \text { Level }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { \% Meeting \& } \\ \text { Exceeding } \\ \text { Expectations }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c}\text { \% Partially } \\ \text { Meeting } \\ \text { Expectations }\end{array} \begin{array}{c}\text { \% Not } \\ \text { Meeting }\end{array}\right\}$

| Grade | \% A\&P | NI | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level | $\mathbf{9 8}$ | 1 | 1 |
| 10 |  |  |  |

## Wellesley Public Schools: Spring 2018 MATH MCAS Results

| Grade <br> Level |  <br> Exceeding <br> Expectations | \% Partially <br> Meeting <br> Expectations | \% Not <br> Meeting |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3 | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | 21 | 3 |
| 4 | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | 26 | 6 |
| 5 | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | 24 | 3 |
| 6 | $\mathbf{7 4}$ | 22 | 3 |
| 7 | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | 18 | 1 |
| 8 | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | 19 | 4 |


| Grade | \% A\&P | NI | F |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level | 96 | 2 | 1 |
| 10 |  |  |  |

## MCAS Science and Technology/Engineering: Context \& Timing

2018

- Overlap of old and new standards
- Computer-based in grades 5 \& 8

2019

- Based on 2016 standards, grade 3-5 and 6-8
- Computer-based with new test design
- Performance standards will be set


## Wellesley Public Schools:

## Spring 2018 Science \& Technology/Engineering (Legacy)

| Grade | \% Advanced <br> \& Proficient | \% Needs <br> Improvement | \% Warning |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10 STE | 93 | 5 | 2 |
| $9-$ Physics | 98 | 2 | 0 |
| 8 | 63 | 31 | 6 |
| 5 | 65 | 32 | 3 |

## MCAS Science <br> 2013-2018 Performance \& Cohort Analysis \% Advanced \& Proficient

| Grade | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{9} \mathbf{8} \mathbf{1 0}$ <br> STE | 81 | 82 | 81 | 91 | 95 | 93 |
| $\mathbf{9 P}$ |  | 98 | 93 | 96 | 96 | 98 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 55 | 59 | 72 | 70 | 63 | 63 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 55 | 69 | 59 | 70 | 62 | 65 |

Class of 2020 (Current $11^{\text {th }}$ grade) growth over time $-9^{\text {th }}$ grade Physics $+10^{\text {th }}$ grade Bio/Chem Results Class of 2021 (Current $10^{\text {th }}$ grade) growth over time
Class of 2022 (Current $9^{\text {th }}$ grade) growth over time

Grade 5 are district results; Grades $8 \& 10$ are school results.

## Like District Comparisons

## MCAS 2018 District Comparisons Grade 10: \% Advanced and Proficient

| District | ELA | Math | STE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Concord-Carlisle | 99 | 92 | 95 |
| Dover-Sherborn | 100 | 99 | 96 |
| Lexington | 98 | 97 | 95 |
| Lincoln-Sudbury | 98 | 94 | 91 |
| Natick | 97 | 91 | 92 |
| Needham | 98 | 93 | 92 |
| Newton | 97 | 93 | 90 |
| Wayland | 98 | 92 | 96 |
| Wellesley | 98 | 96 | 93 |
| Weston | 99 | 96 | 91 |
| Westwood | 98 | 96 | 94 |
| Winchester | 99 | 96 | 95 |

Highest percentage among comparison group
Lowest percentage among comparison group

## MCAS 2018 District Comparisons Grades 3-5: \% Meeting \& Exceeding Expectations

| District | Grade 3 |  | Grade 4 |  | Grade 5 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | STE <br> A\&P |
| Concord | 75 | 76 | 86 | 82 | 82 | 80 | 69 |
| Dover | 90 | 84 | 80 | 86 | 79 | 80 | 79 |
| Lexington | 75 | 75 | 77 | 77 | 81 | 80 | 74 |
| Natick | 65 | 66 | 64 | 54 | 63 | 67 | 72 |
| Needham | 82 | 67 | 69 | 61 | 72 | 66 | 66 |
| Newton | 67 | 71 | 69 | 67 | 76 | 70 | 69 |
| Sudbury | 62 | 78 | 75 | 82 | 84 | 74 | 76 |
| Wayland | 82 | 76 | 70 | 74 | 76 | 69 | 69 |
| Wellesley | 76 | 76 | 79 | 68 | 82 | 72 | 65 |
| Weston | 84 | 73 | 82 | 76 | 82 | 79 | 77 |
| Westwood | 76 | 70 | 82 | 78 | 87 | 76 | 80 |
| Winchester | 74 | 77 | 80 | 82 | 88 | 85 | 80 |

## MCAS 2018 District Comparisons Grades 6-8: \% Meeting \& Exceeding Expectations

| District | Grade 6 |  | Grade 7 |  | Grade 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | ELA | Math | STE <br> A\&P |
| Concord | 74 | 69 | 66 | 73 | 80 | 72 | 60 |
| Dover | 82 | 78 | 81 | 75 | 83 | 73 | 71 |
| Lexington | 82 | 79 | 80 | 87 | 85 | 90 | 72 |
| Natick | 71 | 67 | 52 | 60 | 67 | 72 | 52 |
| Needham | 79 | 79 | 77 | 80 | 85 | 81 | 66 |
| Newton | 70 | 71 | 64 | 73 | 74 | 76 | 51 |
| Sudbury | 70 | 71 | 73 | 66 | 76 | 69 | 60 |
| Wayland | 79 | 80 | 78 | 75 | 86 | 83 | 68 |
| Wellesley | 80 | 74 | 81 | 80 | 78 | 77 | 63 |
| Weston | 78 | 79 | 77 | 82 | 81 | 76 | 74 |
| Westwood | 77 | 69 | 65 | 64 | 65 | 71 | 59 |
| Winchester | 77 | 82 | 75 | 80 | 81 | 76 | 72 |

## MCAS 2018 District Comparisons Grade 10: \% Advanced and Proficient

| District | Grade 10 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ELA | Math | STE |
| Concord-Carlisle | 99 | 92 | 95 |
| Dover-Sherborn | 100 | 99 | 96 |
| Lexington | 98 | 97 | 95 |
| Lincoln-Sudbury | 98 | 94 | 91 |
| Natick | 97 | 91 | 92 |
| Needham | 98 | 93 | 92 |
| Newton | 97 | 93 | 90 |
| Wayland | 98 | 92 | 93 |
| Wellesley | 98 | 96 | 91 |
| Weston | 99 | 96 | 94 |
| Westwood | 98 | 96 | 95 |
| Winchester | 99 | 96 | 96 |

Highest percentage among comparison group
Lowest percentage among comparison group

## Key Takeaways: Achievement

## Highlights:

- Strong achievement results in ELA \& Math in grades 3-10
- Strong achievement results in STE in grades 9 \& 10
- Increase in cohort achievement over time in ELA, Math \& STE
- WPS achievement results continue to be solid when compared to similar districts


## Opportunities for Improvement:

- Results in STE across all levels continue to lag behind ELA \& Math achievement
- Results in STE grade 5 lag behind when compared to similar districts


## WPS Spring 2018 MCAS Subgroup Results

## Wellesley Public Schools Grades 3-5: \% Meeting \& Exceeding Expectations MCAS 2018 ELA

| Subgroup | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 76 | 79 | 82 |
| High Needs | 50 | 52 | 53 |
| Students w/ disabilities | 42 | 40 | 46 |
| ELL and Former EL | 50 | 78 | 71 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 45 | 55 | 40 |
| African American/Black | 45 | 50 | 43 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 70 | 59 | 53 |

## Wellesley Public Schools Grades 6-8 \& 10:

 \% Meeting \& Exceeding Expectations \% Advanced \& Proficient MCAS 2018 ELA| Subgroup | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grade 10 <br> \% A\&P |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 80 | 81 | 78 | 98 |
| High Needs | 51 | 48 | 50 | 92 |
| Students w/ disabilities | 38 | 42 | 36 | 91 |
| ELL and Former EL | 83 | - | 65 | - |
| Economically <br> Disadvantaged | 48 | 39 | 61 | 96 |
| African American/Black | 47 | - | 38 | 90 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 53 | 47 | 59 | 100 |

## WPS 2017-2018 MCAS ELA Grades 3-8: Achievement Gap



## Wellesley Public Schools Grades 3-5: \% Meeting \& Exceeding Expectations MCAS 2018 Math

| Subgroup | Grade 3 | Grade 4 | Grade 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 76 | 68 | 72 |
| High Needs | 47 | 38 | 48 |
| Students w/ disabilities | 40 | 27 | 42 |
| ELL and Former ELL | 59 | 63 | 71 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 38 | 31 | 24 |
| African American/Black | 64 | 19 | 13 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 61 | 53 | 35 |

## Wellesley Public Schools Grades 6-8 \& 10: \% Meeting \& Exceeding Expectations \% Advanced \& Proficient MCAS 2018 Math

| Subgroup | Grade 6 | Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grade 10 <br> $\mathbf{\%} \mathbf{A \& P}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 74 | 80 | 77 | 96 |
| High Needs | 42 | 44 | 49 | 85 |
| Students w/ disabilities | 29 | 37 | 34 | 81 |
| ELL and Former ELL | 92 | - | 76 | - |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 32 | 45 | 55 | 85 |
| African American/Black | 40 | - | 38 | 71 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 35 | 50 | 35 | 89 |

## WPS 2017-2018 MCAS Math Grades 3-8 Achievement Gap



## Wellesley Public Schools Grades 5, 8 \& 10 \% Advanced \& Proficient MCAS 2018 Science

| Subgroup | Grade <br> $\mathbf{5}$ | Grade <br> $\mathbf{8}$ | Grades <br> $\mathbf{9 / 1 0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 65 | 63 | 93 |
| High Needs | 41 | 39 | 72 |
| Students w/ disabilities | 40 | 34 | 66 |
| ELL and Former ELL | 43 | 44 | - |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 24 | 39 | 76 |
| African American/Black | 26 | 19 | 55 |
| Hispanic/Latino | 41 | 24 | 76 |

## WPS 2012-2018 Science MCAS <br> Achievement Gap



## Key Takeaways: Subgroups

## Cautions:

- Small cohort size within several subgroups


## Highlights:

- ELL and Former ELL show marked improvement across most grades and subject areas
- Gaps narrow over time in Grades 3-10 across all subjects
- Slight narrowing of the gap in ELA in grades 3-8


## Areas for Improvement:

- Persistent gaps exist for between "All" students and identified subgroups in grades 3-8
- Achievement gaps are wider in Math and STE
- Lowest performing subgroups need intentional focus and intervention


## Student Growth Percentiles (SGPs)

## 2018 MCAS WPS Median SGP by Grade

| Grade | ELA SGP | Math SGP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 | 62.1 | 54.4 |
| Grade 5 | 60 | 57.2 |
| Grade 6 | 57.4 | 54.2 |
| Grade 7 | 61.4 | 53.7 |
| Grade 8 | 53.7 | 50.6 |
| Grade 10 | 47.7 | 71.5 |


| $<19^{\text {th }}$ Percentile | Very Low Growth |
| :--- | :--- |
| $20^{\text {th }}-40^{\text {th }}$ Percentile | Low Growth |
| $41^{\text {st }}-59^{\text {th }}$ Percentile | Typical Growth |
| $60^{\text {th }}-79^{\text {th }}$ Percentile | High Growth |
| $>80^{\text {th }}$ Percentile | Very High Growth |

## WPS Grades 3-10 Mean ELA SGPs

| Subgroup | Grade 4 | Grade 5 | Grade 6 Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grade <br> $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 62.1 | 60 | 57.4 | 61.4 | 53.7 | 47.7 |
| High Needs | 54.4 | 54 | 55.3 | 60.6 | 54 | 45.9 |
| Students w/ disabilities | 54.9 | 49.4 | 52.6 | 61.1 | 53.2 | 44.6 |
| ELL and Former EL | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Economically <br> Disadvantaged | 56.8 | 48.3 | - | 55.3 | 51.6 | 48.8 |
| African American/Black | - | 38.6 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic/Latino | - | - | 54.5 | - | - | - |

## WPS Grades 3-10 Mean Math SGPs

| Subgroup | Grade 4 Grade 5 | Grade 6 Grade 7 | Grade 8 | Grade <br> $\mathbf{1 0}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All | 54.4 | 57.2 | 54.2 | 53.7 | 50.6 | 71.5 |
| High Needs | 49.9 | 56.2 | 53.3 | 59.6 | 53 | 73.8 |
| Students w/ disabilities | 48.1 | 54.6 | 51 | 61.6 | 56.7 | 75 |
| ELL and Former EL | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Economically <br> Disadvantaged | 41 | 47.5 | 48.5 | - | 44.8 | 69.6 |
| African American/Black | - | 39.2 | - | - | - | - |
| Hispanic/Latino | - | - | 52.3 | - | - | - |

## Key Takeaways: SGPs

## Highlights:

- Pockets of strong SGPs across grades 4-10 in ELA \& Math
- Overall All grades SGPs are >41\%


## Opportunities for Improvement:

- ELA \& Math SGP for African American/Black Students $\square$ Low Growth; requires additional analysis and targeted assistance
- Math SGP for Economically Disadvantaged is hovering near Low Growth
- Additional SGP focus and intervention needed for African American/Black students in both ELA \& Math


## Next Steps

- Continue to analyze our 2018 results:
- District leadership teams
- School based leadership teams
- Grade Levels
- Departments
- Complete alignment of STE curriculum in grades K-8
- Allocate resources to the achievement gaps:
- Grades 3-8 Achievement Gap Task Force in Math
- Math intervention work in Grades 3-10


## Questions?

## Parent Resources

## www.doe.mass.edu/mcas/parents

- Parent Guide to the MCAS (available in several languages
- Annotated Parent/Guardian Reports (PPT)
- Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs)
- What are the Achievement Level Descriptors?
- Item Descriptions for Grades 3-8 ELA and Mathematics
- Parent/guardian report templates and translations

